

## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02981 01 OF 02 221424Z

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-07 IO-13 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-06

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 L-03 NASA-01

NEA-10 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01

OES-06 SS-15 USIA-06 /123 W

-----221519Z 067945 /43

R 221350Z APR 77

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6737

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

USMISSION NATO

ERDA HQ WASHDC

ERDA GERMANTOWN

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 1 OF 2 GENEVA 2981

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PARM, CCD

SUBJECT: CCD: SECOND INFORMAL MEETING ON CTB

1. SUMMARY: SECOND INFORMAL MEETING ON CTB MET AFTER MORNING PLENARY, APRIL 21. BRIEF STATEMENTS WERE MADE BY US, USSR, FRG, UK, NETHERLANDS, AND SWEDEN. US AND USSR STATEMENTS WERE GENERAL AND NON-CONTROVERSIAL, STRONGLY SUPPORTING CTB. FRG REP EXPRESSED SUPPORT FOR CTB AND, AMONG SEVERAL POINTS TOOK PARTICULAR NOTE OF PNE ISSUE. UK REP RETIERATED SUPPORT FOR CTB, AND SAID SOVIET AND LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02981 01 OF 02 221424Z

SWEDISH APPROACH TO VERIFICATION PRESENTED THEM WITH PROBLEMS. NETHERLANDS ALTERNATE REP ADDRESSED ISSUE OF CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION AND RELATION TO VERIFICATION. SWEDISH REP SAID THEY WOULD RESPOND TO COMMENTS ON THEIR DRAFT IN SUMMER SESSION. END SUMMARY.

2. US REP (MEYERS) MADE BRIEF STATEMENT AS INSTRUCTED

STATE 85718, STATE 86380, AND STATE 88989. USSR REP (LIKHACHEV) MADE VERY BRIEF AND VERY BLAND STATEMENT CONTAINED GENEVA 2938.

3. FRG REP (SCHLAICH) STATED THAT FRG FAVORED ADEQUATELY VERIFIED UNIVERSAL TEST BAN TREATY AND APPEALED TO THE NUCLEAR POWER STATES TO CONTINUE TO STRIVE FOR SUCH. HE WELCOMED SWEDISH DRAFT TREATY AND HOPED IT WOULD HELP LEAD TO NEGOTIATIONS. FRG REP SAID THERE WAS FURTHER NEED FOR SCIENTIFIC CLARIFICATION OF THE VERIFICATION PROBLEM, AND HE NOTED THE WORK OF THE AD HOC EXPERTS GROUPS. WITH REGARD TO PNES, HE SAID THE TTBT AND PNE AGREEMENT SHOWED THE INTERRELATION OF PNES TO TEST RESTRAINTS; HOWEVER, THE VERIFICATION SYSTEM IN THE PNE AGREEMENT WAS INADEQUATE FOR A CTB. IT WAS A PRIORITY TASK TO WORK OUT AN EFFECTIVE VERIFICATION ARRANGEMENT FOR PNES AND IN THIS RESPECT A TEMPORARY PNE MORATORIUM COULD BE CONTEMPLATED. HE ALSO NOTED THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE NUCLEAR WEAPONS STATES UNDER ARTICLE V OF THE NPT. NEXT, HE DID NOT BELIEVE A CTB SHOULD REQUIRE ADHERENCE BY ALL NUCLEAR WEAPON STATES TO ENTER INTO FORCE. FINALLY, HE EXPRESSED THE HOPE CCD WOULD MAKE PROGRESS ON THESE MATTERS, AND ADDED THAT CCD WAS THE APPROPRIATE MULTILATERAL FORUM FOR THIS SUBJECT.

4. UK REP (ASHE) REITERATED THEIR SUPPORT FOR CTB. TAKING NOTE OF THE TWO DRAFT TREATIES BEFORE CCD, HE BRIEFLY EXPRESSED DOUBT THAT SOVIET UNION TREATY PROVIDE ANSWERS TO PROBLEMS WHICH MUST BE SOLVED, NOTING IN PARTICULAR SOVIET APPROACH TO ON-SITE INSPECTION. THE SWEDISH DRAFT LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 02981 01 OF 02 221424Z

ALSO PRESENTED THEM WITH PROBLEMS, FOR EXAMPLE, ON VERIFICATION, BUT SAID IT MIGHT PROVIDE A BASIS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION. HE BRIEFLY NOTED WORK OF AD HOC SEISMIC EXPERTS GROUP.

5. ALTERNATE NETHERLANDS REP (MEERBURG) BEGAN BY NOTING THAT CCD STILL AWAITS POLITICAL BREAKTHROUGH, AND EXPRESSED HOPE THAT SUCH WOULD OCCUR SOON. HE THEN ASKED SOVIET UNION IF IMPORT OF PARA 6 OF PREAMBLE OF THEIR DRAFT TREATY (CCD/523) WAS THAT LTBT WOULD CEASE TO BE OPERATIVE AFTER CTB ENTERED INTO FORCE. TURNING TO VERIFICATION, HE NOTED THAT NEITHER SOVIET NOR WEDISH DRAFT ESTABLISHED PROCEDURES ON HOW ON-SITE INSPECTION WOULD BE CARRIED OUT. MORE WOULD NEED TO BE KNOWN, ALTHOUGH A CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE ESTABLISHED BY A TREATY COULD WORK OUT DETAILED ARRANGEMENTS LATER. IT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO HAVE ON-SITE PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED BEFORE AN ON-SITE INSPECTION WAS REQUIRED, OTHERWISE EVIDENCE COULD DISAPPEAR WHILE DEVELOPING PROCEDURES TO CARRY OUT AN INSPECTION. HE FURTHER NOTED THAT OFFICIALS OF NUCLEAR

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02981 02 OF 02 221435Z  
ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-07 IO-13 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-06  
CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 L-03 NASA-01  
NEA-10 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02 SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01  
OES-06 SS-15 USIA-06 /123 W  
-----221520Z 068250 /42

R 221350Z APR 77  
FM USMISSION GENEVA  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6751  
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW  
AMEMBASSY ROME  
AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM  
AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE  
AMEMBASSY TOKYO  
USMISSION USUN NEW YORK  
USMISSION NATO  
ERDA HQ WASHDC  
ERDA GERMANTOWN  
USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 2 OF 2 GENEVA 2981

WEAPON STATES AND OFFICIALS OF NON-NUCLEAR WEAPON STATES  
COULD POSSIBLY HAVE DIFFERENT ROLES IN AN ON-SITE INSPECTION;  
A NUCLEAR WEAPONS STATE MIGHT WANT TO RESTRICT INFORMATION  
AVAILABLE TO A NON-NUCLEAR STATE. HE CITED THE PNE AGREEMENT  
IN THIS RESPECT, NOTING ITS "INTRUSIVE" CHARACTER. HE NOTED  
THAT SOVIETS APPEARED TO HAVE MOVED TOWARD SWEDISH APPROACH FOR ON-  
SITE INSPECTION, AND BELIEVED THIS WAS AN EXCELLENT BASIS FOR  
COMPROMISE. NEXT, HE NOTED THAT MONGOLIAN REP IN TODAY'S  
PLENARY STATEMENT HAD NOT RULED OUT POSSIBILITY OF CONSULTATIVE  
COMMISSION. NETHERLANDS BELIEVED A CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION  
WAS REQUIRED. FURTHERMORE, THE NEED FOR AN ON-SITE INSPECTION  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02981 02 OF 02 221435Z

COULD BE BASED ON OTHER THAN SEISMIC INFORMATION, AS FOR EXAMPLE, THE PRESENCE OF A SUBSIDENCE CRATER DETECTED BY SATELLITE OBSERVATION. SUCH INFORMATION COULD BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE. A CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE COULD ALSO REJECT AN UNWARRANTED REQUEST FOR AN ON-SITE INSPECTION. NEXT, HE SAID THAT INTERNATIONAL SEISMIC DATA EXCHANGE SYSTEM SHOULD BE MORE THAN A COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, AND SHOULD HAVE CAPACITY TO ANALYZE AND DRAW CONCLUSIONS FROM SEISMIC DATA. THAT, HE SAID, WAS ONLY WAY SMALLER COUNTRIES WITHOUT EXTENSIVE TECHNICAL CAPACILITIES COULD PARTICIPATE IN SEISMIC MONITORING. FINALLY, HE TOOK ISSUE WITH JAPANESE STATEMENT IN MORNING PLENARY THAT ARTICLE IX OF WEDISH DRAFT COULD BE COUNTER-PRODUCTIVE AND WAS NOT NECESSARY. HE SAID IT WAS NETHERLAND'S VIEW IT WAS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL.

6. SWEDISH REP (HAMILTON) SAID THAT SWEDISH VIEWS WERE WELL KNOWN. THEY WERE ENCOURAGED BY DISCUSSION, AND WOULD CAREFULLY STUDY THE COMMENTS ON THEIR DRAFT. THEY WOULD RETURN TO SUBJECT EARLY IN SUMMER SESSION AND PRESENT THEIR VIEWS ON A CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE AND ITS MANDATE.

7. NEXT CTB INFORMAL MEETING SCHEDULED FOR FRIDAY, APRIL 22, 10:30 A.M. SORENSON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** DISARMAMENT, LIMITED TEST BAN TREATY, NEGOTIATIONS, NUCLEAR TESTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 22-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977GENEVA02981  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770140-0632  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** GENEVA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19770430/aaaaazlz.tel  
**Line Count:** 195  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** c27e1fa1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION ACDA  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 06-Oct-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2728318  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CCD: SECOND INFORMAL MEETING ON CTB  
**TAGS:** PARM, US, XG, CCD  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/c27e1fa1-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009